

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 084720

12

ORIGIN SS-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /011 R

66610

DRAFTED BY: PM/ISO:GTCHURCHILL:ML

APPROVED BY: PM/ISO:GTCHURCHILL

S/S-O-MTA

NER

----- 006427

R 142115Z APR 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USDOCOSOUTH

S E C R E T STATE 084720

EXDIS - MILITARY ADDEE HANDLE AS SPECAT EXCLUSIVE

USDOCOSOUTH FOR POLAD

FOLLOWING REPEAT ATHENS 2780 ACTION SECSTATE INFO SECDEF NATO
JCS USNMR USDELMC USCINCEUR CINCUSAREUR CINCUSANVDIR CINCUSAFE
10 APR

QUOTE

S E C R E T ATHENS 2780

EXDIS

MILITARY ADDEES HANDLE AS SPECAT EXCLUSIVE

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR, GR, US

SUBJECT: GREEK BASE NEGOTIATIONS: SECOND PLENARY MEETING OF
SECOND ROUND

REF: A. STATE 075036 B. ATHENS 2694

USNATO ALSO FOR DEPASSTSECDEF BERGOLD

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 084720

SUMMARY: AMB. CALOGERAS NOTED THAT PROGRESS WAS BEING ACHIEVED BY THE SOFA SUB-GROUP, BUT BECAUSE OF THE REITERATED GREEK VIEW THAT THE BASE HAD TO BE CLOSED HE WAS RELUCTANT TO FORM A SUBGROUP ON HELLENIKON. MINISTER STEARNS STATED THAT IF THE GREEK POSITION WAS UNYIELDING, AND EVERYTHING ON THE BASE HAD TO BE ELIMINATED, THEN THERE WAS LITTLE THAT COULD BE DONE AT THE LEVEL OF THE NEGOTIATING TEAMS. THE GREEK SIDE THEN AGREED TO THE FORMATION OF A SUB-GROUP ON HELLENIKON, TO MEET APRIL 11, AND THE US SIDE PRESENTED AN OVERVIEW BRIEFING OF THE KINDS OF CHANGE IT CONTEMPLATED FOR HELLENIKON. THE CHIEF NEGOTIATORS AGREED THAT THEY WOULD DISCUSS SUBSEQUENTLY THE USEFULNESS OF FORMING A SUB-GROUP ON HOMEPORING. CALOGERAS THEN OUTLINED THE GREEK CONCEPT OF A NEW BASIC AGREEMENT, INCLUDING AN OMNIBUS COVER AGREEMENT SETTING FORTH GENERAL PRINCIPLES, TO BE SUPPLEMENTED BY ANNEXES ADDRESSING INDIVIDUAL FACILITIES OR SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES AND APPENDICES COVERING ASPECTS OF THEIR FUNCTIONING. STEARNS STATED THAT IT SHOULD NOT BE EXCLUDED THAT CERTAIN EXISTING AGREEMENTS MIGHT PROVE TO BE COMPLETELY ADEQUATE WITH CERTAIN MODIFICATIONS. CALOGERAS OUTLINED ISSUES WHICH IN THE GOG CONCEPT WOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE BASIC AGREEMENT, WHOSE TEXT HE PROMISED TO PROVIDE BY APRIL 15, AMONG WHICH WERE GREEK BASE COMMANDERS AND RESIDENT DETACHMENTS, US ACCESS TO FACILITIES (E.G. OVERFLIGHT AND LANDING RIGHTS, AND PORT VISITS), DISPOSITION OF RESIDUAL PROPERTY, TECHNICAL TRAINING OF GREEK FORCES BY US COLLOCATED FORCES, PURPOSES FOR WHICH US FORCES AND FACILITIES IN GREECE MIGHT BE USED, GREEK CONTROL OF BASES IN TIME OF INTERNATIONAL TENSION, AND TERMINATION ARRANGEMENTS.

1. THE SECOND PLENARY SESSION AT 1000 HOURS ON APRIL 10 MET AT THE FOREIGN MINISTRY. AMBASSADOR CALOGEROS INTRODUCED MR. MACHERITSAS, AN ASSISTANT LEGAL ADVISER ADDED TO THE GREEK DELEGATION. CALOGERAS THEN COMMENTED THAT THE STATUS OF FORCES SUBGROUP HAD CLEARED SOME OF THE GROUND ON THAT SUBJECT. MINISTER STEARNS AGREED THAT THE WORK OF THE SOFA SUBGROUP HAD BEEN EXTREMELY USEFUL AND SAID THAT THE US SIDE LOOKED FORWARD TO THE FORMATION OF THE TWO ADDITIONAL SUBGROUPS PROPOSED EARLIER IF THE GREEK SIDE

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 084720

WAS PREPARED TO DO SO. CALOGERAS STATED THAT THERE WOULD BE NO HARD AND FAST DECISIONS MADE ON THE LEVEL OF HIS DELEGATION NOR AT ANY HIGHER LEVEL UNTIL THE GREEK SIDE HAD HEARD IN DETAIL WHAT THE US SIDE HAD TO SAY. HE ADDED, HOWEVER, THAT HE WAS STILL HESITANT TO AGREE TO THE IMMEDIATE FORMATION OF THE OTHER TWO SUBGROUPS MENTIONED IN THE FIRST PLENARY SESSION. CALOGERAS BELIEVED THAT THE ESTABLISHMENT AND FUNCTIONING OF AMERICAN TENANT FACILITIES

ON HELLENIKON WOULD BE TROUBLESOME IN THAT GREEK PUBLIC OPINION WOULD SOON FIND OUT THAT NOT MUCH HAD CHANGED. THEREFORE, CALOGERAS REITERATED THAT THIS FACILITY WOULD HAVE TO BE CLOSED. NEVERTHELESS, BEFORE CONSIDERING THE FORMATION OF A SUBGROUP, CALOGERAS ASKED FOR ADDITIONAL SPECIFIC SUGGESTIONS FROM THE US SIDE CONCERNING THE FACILITIES THE US WOULD WANT TO CONTINUE ON THE MERGED HELLENIKON BASE.

2. CALOGERAS REFERRED TO THE PRESENCE OF OTHER US FACILITIES ON GREEK SOIL, NOTING THAT HE DID NOT WISH TO CONVEY THE IMPRESSION THAT HELLENIKON, SOFA ISSUES, AND HOMEPORING WERE THE ONLY PROBLEMS TO BE FACED. CALOGERAS ASKED FOR THE US VIEW CONCERNING CLOSING OR CHANGING THE FUNCTION OF THESE OTHER FACILITIES. STEARNS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT EITHER SIDE COULD RAISE ANY SUBJECT IT WISHED, BUT ADDED THAT IT WOULD BE MOST DIFFICULT FOR THE US SIDE TO COMMENT ON OTHER FACILITIES UNTIL THE GREEK SIDE DEFINED WHAT THE GREEK FOUNDS TROUBLESOME ABOUT THEM. THE US SIDE DESIRED TO CONCENTRATE ON THE THREE PRINCIPAL ISSUES IN ORDER TO SIMPLIFY A COMPLICATED SUBJECT. FOR THIS REASON THE US TEAM HAD PREPARED CONSIDERABLE MATERIAL ON HELLENIKON AND SOFA ISSUES AND WAS PREPARED TO DISCUSS HOMEPORING AND RELATED FACILITIES. THE KEY ISSUE WHICH HAD EMERGED SO FAR WAS THE FUTURE OF US FACILITIES AT HELLENIKON. STEARNS POINTED OUT THAT THE SERVICES PERFORMED THERE WERE EXCEPTIONALLY IMPORTANT AND VITAL TO THE MAINTENANCE OF AN EFFECTIVE US PRESENCE IN THE EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN. THE US BELIEVED THAT THEY WERE EQUALLY VITAL TO GREECE AND TO GREEK DEFENSE. IF THE TWO SIDES COULD ONLY TALK ABOUT EITHER MAINTAINING UNCHANGED OR ELIMINATING ENTIRELY THE FACILITY, THEN THERE WAS VERY LITTLE TO DISCUSS AT THE NEGOTIATORS LEVEL. THE MATTER THEN BECAME A
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 084720

POLITICAL DECISION FOR THE US AND GREECE WITH WIDER IMPLICATIONS. THEREFORE, TO AVOID A FRUITLESS EXERCISE OF REPEATING GREEK DEMANDS FOR TERMINATION AND US INSISTENCE ON RETENTION, THE US SIDE HOPED TO HAVE AN INFORMAL EXAMINATION OF ALL THE DETAILS OF THE PROBLEM. THE US SIDE CONTINUED TO HOPE THAT SOME FLEXIBILITY AND ROOM FOR COMPROMISE EXISTED EVEN THOUGH THE GREEK SIDE HAD ADVANCED A CATEGORICAL POSITION. THEREFORE, STEARNS AGAIN PRESSED FOR THE FORMATION OF A SUBGROUP TO EXPLORE WITHOUT OBLIGATION OR COMMITMENT WHAT KIND OF ADJUSTMENTS MIGHT BE MADE.

3. CALOGERAS THANKED THE US DELEGATION FOR ITS UNDERSTANDING OF THE GREEK POINT OF VIEW, REEMPHASIZED THAT WHATEVER THE TWO SIDES DISCUSSED DID NOT CHANGE EITHER POSITION, AND IN

VIEW OF THE US REQUEST AND WITH THIS UNDERSTANDING, AGREED TO THE FORMATION OF THE SUBFOUP ON HELLENIKON. HE IDENTIFIED FOUR HAF COLONELS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE HELLENIKON SUBGROUP, ONE OF WHOM WAS NOT A MEMBER OF THE OFFICIAL DELEGATION. STEARNS EXPRESSED HIS GRATIFICATION AT THE FORMATION OF THE SUBGROUP, ANNOUNCED THE NAMES OF THE US MEMBERS, AND AGREED THAT EXPERTS FROM OUTSIDE THE DELEGATIONS MIGHT PARTICIPATE IN SUBGROUPS. IT WAS AGREED THAT THE SUBGROUP WOULD MEET AT 1000 APRIL 11. STEARNS THEN SUGGESTED THAT THE US SIDE MAKE A PRESENTATION IN MORE DETAIL OF THE US PROPOSAL ON HELLENIKON, WHICH HE HOPED WOULD PROVIDE USEFUL BACKGROUND FOR THE WORK OF THE SUBGROUP. STEARNS ALSO ASKED ABOUT THE FORMATION OF A SUBGROUP ON HOMEPORTING, AND IT WAS AGREED THAT THIS MATTER WOULD BE DISCUSSED EITHER AT THE NEXT PLENARY ON APRIL 15 OR PRIOR TO THAT TIME BETWEEN THE TWO TEAM LEADERS.

4. COL. MAJORS, OSD/ISA, THEN SET FORTH CERTAIN US SUGGESTIONS ON ATHENAI AIR BASE. THE SALIENT POINTS WERE: THE US PROPOSAL FOR THE FUTURE DISPOSITION OF THE US AIRBASE AT HELLENIKON WAS DEVELOPED IN CONSIDERATION OF GREEK POLITICAL CONCERN FOR THE EXISTENCE OF A FOREIGN MILITARY ACILITY IN A VERY VISIBLE LOCATION AND THE US NEED FOR CONTINUED USE OF FACILITIES AT HELLENIKON TO SERVE MUTUAL SECURITY INTERESTS. THE US UNDERSTOOD AND SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 05 STATE 084720

FULLY APPRECIATED THE GREEK POSITION AND DESIRED TO SATISFY GREEK CONCERNS BUT ALSO HOPED THE GREEK SIDE WOULD APPROACH THE PROBLEM WITH AN EQUAL APPRECIATION OF THE US POSITION AND WITH A BROAD VIEW OF HOW AND US PRESENCE AT HELLENIKON SERVED THE SECURITY NEEDS OF BOTH COUNTRIES. IT WAS ESSENTIAL THAT THE GREEK SIDE UNDERSTAND TWO VITAL POINTS, THAT THE AIRBASE WAS THE KEYSTONE OF ALL US MILITARY ACTIVITIES IN GREECE, HOSTING FUNCTIONS IN DIRECT SUPPORT OF ALL OTHER US ACTIVITIES IN GREECE WHICH THE US CONSIDERED ESSENTIAL TO GREEK AND US SECURITY. THEREFORE, IF THE GREEK SIDE CONSIDERED ANY US FUNCTION IMPORTANT TO GREECE'S SECURITY, IT OUGHT TO ATTACH THE SAME PRIORITY TO THE AIRBASE, WHICH SUPPORTED THAT FUNCTION. THE GREEK SIDE HAD BEEN BRIEFED ON ALL US ACTIVITIES IN GREAT DETAIL AND US SIDE WAS WILLING TO PROVIDE THEM WITH ANY OTHER INFORMATION THEY DESIRED. FUNDS WERE SIMPLY NOT AVAILABLE TO RELOCATE THESE ACTIVITIES. VITAL FUNCTIONS WOULD HAVE TO BE TERMINATED, WITH A SERIOUS DEGRADATION OF CAPABILITIES WHICH WOULD NOT BE IN THE INTEREST OF THE US OR GREECE. AT PRESENT, THE AIRBASE WAS A US FACILITY ADJACENT TO A HELLENIC AIR FORCE BASE, EACH OF WHICH HAD ITS INDIVIDUAL COMMANDER

AND OPERATED AS A COMPLETELY SEPARATE ENTITY. THE US SIDE AGREED THAT THE US FACILITY WAS CONSPICUOUSLY AMERICAN AND APPRECIATED GREEK CONCERN ABOUT THIS US VISIBILITY UNDER PRESENT POLITICAL CIRCUMSTANCES. THE US PROPOSAL WAS TO RETURN THE US AIRBASE AREA TO CONTROL OF THE GREEK GOVERNMENT FOR CONSOLIDATION WITH THE ADJACENT HELLENIC AIR FORCE BASE UNDER A GREEK COMMANDER. THE US BASE WOULD CEASE TO BE A SEPARATE FACILITY BOTH IN FORM AND IN FACT AND US ACTIVITIES WOULD CONTINUE IN A TENANT STATUS SIMILAR TO US FACILITIES AT LARISSA AND ELSEWHERE. GREEK MILITARY AUTHORITIES WOULD EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THE ENTIRE AREA UNDER MODALITIES COVERED IN AN APPROPRIATE AGREEMENT, TO INCLUDE CONTROL OF INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL SECURITY, SUCH AS AIR BASE ACCESS PROCEDURES AND TRAFFIC REGULATION, ACCESS TO US TENANT ACTIVITIES, CONTROL OF ALL GREEK PERSONNEL EMPLOYED BY US FORCES, AND APPROVAL AUTHORITY FOR ALL CIVIL ENGINEERING ACTIVITIES. THE AIRBASE WOULD ACTUALLY REVERT TO GREEK CONTROL, AS WOULD BE DEMONSTRATED BY CLEAR IDENTIFICATION OF

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 06 STATE 084720

THE BASE AS A FACILITY UNDER GREEK CONTROL, A SINGLE ACCESS GATE CONTROLLED BY GREEK AUTHORITIES, THE REMOVAL OF CONSPICUOUS DISPLAY OF THE US FLAGS AND US MILITARY SYMBOLS, AND OTHER CHANGES WHICH GREEK AUTHORITIES MIGHT WISH TO DISCUSS. AS PART OF THE TRANSFER THE US WAS ALSO PREPARED TO EXAMINE A REDUCTION OF THE US POPULATION LEVEL. THE US PROPOSAL WAS A CONCEPTUAL OUTLINE AND THE US SIDE WAS PREPARED TO DISCUSS ALL THESE TOPICS AND OTHER IDEAS THE GREEKS MIGHT DESIRE TO PRESENT. CALOGERAS RESPONDED THAT OBVIOUSLY WHEN THE SUBGROUP MET THEY WOULD EXPECT SOME MORE DETAIL FROM THE US SIDE, AND ASKED THAT IN THE SUBGROUP THE US BE PREPARED TO IDENTIFY WHAT WAS ESSENTIAL AND OUGHT NOT BE DISCONTINUED AT HELLENIKON. HE SAID THAT THE GREEK REPRESENTATIVES WOULD NOT BE PREPARED TO MAKE ANY SUGGESTIONS BUT WOULD LISTEN CAREFULLY. STEARNS REPEATED THAT DISCUSSION IN THE SUBGROUP WOULD NOT BE BINDING ON EITHER SIDE AND EXPRESSED HIS HOPE THAT THE GREEKS WOULD DO MORE THAN JUST LISTEN. CALOGERAS ONCE AGAIN STRESSED THAT NO DECISIONS WOULD BE MADE AT THE LEVEL OF THESE TALKS.

5. CALOGEAS NEXT OFFERED A PRESENTATION ON THE "GLOBAL ASPECTS" OF THE NEGOTIATIONS. RECALLING HIS REMARK DURING PHASE I THAT THE GREEK SIDE WOULD WISH AN AGREEMENT WORKED OUT BY BOTH SIDES WHICH WOULD THEN BE PRESENTED TO THE GREEK PARLIAMENT, HE BEGAN TO SKETCH OUT THE GREEK IDEA OF HOW THIS AGREEMENT WOULD BE FORMULATED. THE GREEK SIDE SAW THE AGREEMENT AS AIMING AT PROMOTING MUTUAL DEFENSE GOALS, BUT AVOIDING IN THE TITLE OR PREAMBLE

REFERENCE TO FACILITIES OR BASES, SINCE THOSE WOULD NOT BE ACCEPTABLE IN PUBLIC DISCUSSION. IN THE PREAMBLE IT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO REFER TO THE GREEK DECISION TO LEAVE THE MILITARY SIDE OF NATO, CREATING A NEW SITUATION WHICH HAD TO BE REMEDIED. THE GREEKS WOULD WISH IN THE PREAMBLE MENTION OF ARTICLES 3 AND 5 OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY BECAUSE THE GREEKS FORMALLY REMAINED IN THE POLITICAL ORGANIZATION OF THE ALLIANCE. CALOGERAS STRESSED THAT THE GREEK AIM WAS TO MAKE MORE EFFICIENT AND TO UPDATE MUTUAL COOPERATION IN DEFENSE MATTERS. HE STATED THAT AGREEMENT THEN COULD BE REACHED CONCERNING FACILITIES AND INSTALLATIONS USED BY US FORCES ON GREEK

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 07 STATE 084720

SOIL, WHICH WOULD BE LISTED IN AN ANNEX TO THE AGREEMENT THAT WOULD INCLUDE A STATEMENT THAT THESE FACILITIES WOULD BE PART OF GREEK TERRITORY AND WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE GOG. AS AN ILLUSTRATION OF THIS NEW RELATIONSHIP, THE GREEK SIDE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A GREEK OFFICER AS A COMMANDER PLACED IN EACH ONE OF THE REMAINING US FACILITIES, ACCOMPANIED BY A DETACHMENT OF TROOPS DEEMED IN THE AGREEMENT AS NECESSARY FOR HIM TO HAVE WITH HIM. THERE WERE OBVIOUSLY A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE FUNCTIONING AND ACTIVITIES OF THESE FACILITIES, INCLUDING JOINT USE OF THE INSTALLATIONS. THEREFORE, EACH FACILITY MENTIONED IN THE ANNEX WOULD HAVE TO BE THE SUBJECT OF A DETAILED TECHNICAL AGREEMENT. THIS WOULD REPLACE THE CURRENT PATCHWORK OF TECHNICAL AGREEMENTS AND BE AGREED TO AND SIGNED BY BOTH SIDES. THE TECHNICAL AGREEMENTS WOULD NEED TO BE DISCUSSED AND AGREED WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME LIMIT, SUCH AS THREE TO SIX MONTHS AFTER THE SIGNING OF THE BASIC AGREEMENT. STERNES STATED THAT IF SUCH A FORMAT WERE ADOPTED HE FELT IT WOULD BE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO SET A TIME LIMIT SUCH AS THAT STATED BY MR. CALOGERAS. CALOGERAS RESPONDED THAT THE IDEA WAS ONLY NOT TO LET THE NEGOTIATIONS DRAG. CALOGERAS ADDED THAT ONCE THE AGREEMENT WAS SIGNED ANY SUBSEQUENT CHANGE OR MODIFICATION, SUCH AS TO THE MISSION OR OBJECTIVE OF THE FACILITY, WOULD HAVE TO BE AGREED TO BY BOTH SIDES.

6. CALOGERAS COMMENTED THAT EACH SUCH AGREEMENT WOULD ALSO CONTAIN AN IMPORTANT CHAPTER ON THE FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF THE FUNCTIONING OF THE FACILITY. US FORCES WOULD HAVE FREE ACCESS TO ENTER AND LEAVE THE FACILITY, COULD FLY OVER GREEK TERRITORY OR USE GREEK TERRITORIAL WATERS, BASED ON AGREEMENTS WHICH WOULD BE REACHED IN EACH PARTICULAR CASE. "DUES" WOULD HAVE TO BE DISCUSSED, FOR WHICH THE EXAMPLE OF LANDING FEES WAS ADDUCED. ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION WOULD BE NECESSARY ON THE STATUS OF

REMOVABLE AND FIXED PROPERTY IN THE EVENT OF
TERMINATION, FOLLOWING THE PRINCIPLE THAT THAT WHICH WAS
PORTABLE COULD BE REMOVED AND FIXED PROPERTY REVERT TO
THE RECEIVING STATE. CALOGERAS STATED THAT HE WAS CERTAIN
THE US WOULD AGREE TO THE IDEA THAT TRAINING OF GREEK
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 08 STATE 084720

FORCES IN PARTICULAR ASPECTS OF TECHNICAL MATTERS COULD
BE UNDERTAKEN BY THE US FORCES PRESENT, A USEFUL FORM
OF COLLABORATION. A POINT OF PARAMOUNT IMPORTANCE
WOULD BE THAT THE USE OF THE BASES MUST BE ACCORDING
TO THE AIMS AND WITHIN THE SCOPE OF NATO AND AFTER
CONSULTATION BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES. IN CASE OF THREAT
OF ATTACK ON GREECE COMING FROM ANY DIRECTION, THE
GOVERNMENT OF GREECE WOULD RESERVE THE RIGHT TO ASSUME
CONTROL OF THE BASES TEMPORARILY, EITHER FULLY OR
PARTIALLY. HE FURTHER MENTIONED THAT THE 1951 SOFA
WOULD BE APPLIED, AND THAT SUCH SOFA SHOULD BE VIEWED
IN A VERY BROAD SENSE. THE GREEK SIDE WISHED TO SEE THE
SOFA APPLIED TO ALL AMERICAN PERSONNEL EXISTING,
FUNCTIONING, OR LIVING ON GREEK SOIL. THERE WERE STILL
SOME AGREEMENTS IN FORCE SIGNED IN 1947 AND 1948
ESTABLISHED UNDER THE AID PROGRAM. PERSONNEL WERE STILL WORKING
UNDER THESE AGREEMENTS, INCLUDING THOSE IN RADIO

STATIONS IN THESSALONIKI AND ELSEWHERE. THE
GREEK SIDE WISHED THEM UNDER THE NATO SOFA AGREEMENT
FOR THE SAKE OF CONFORMITY AND SIMPLIFICATION.
INDEMNIFICATION FROM ACCIDENTS" ALSO SHOULD BE A
SUBJECT OF THE AGREEMENT. GREEK LABOR LEGISLATION
SHOULD ALSO BE APPLIED STRICTLY WHEREVER GREEK PERSONNEL
WERE EMPLOYED. CALOGERAS FELT THE TWO SIDES SHOULD BE
ABLE TO AGREE ON A TEXT SUCH AS HE HAD OUTLINED, WHICH
MEANT THAT THE 1953 AGREEMENT WITH ITS APPENDICES, THE
1956 AGREEMENT, AND THE AGREEMENT ON HOMEPORTING WOULD
CEASE TO EXIST. IT WOULD FURTHER BE NECESSARY TO
DISCUSS THE MANNER OF TERMINATING AGREEMENTS IF THE
NEED AROSE. FOR EXAMPLE, THE TWO SIDES SHOULD AGREE ON
A PROCEDURE THAT ALL OR PART OF ANY AGREEMENT COULD BE
REVISED IF ONE OF THE TWO SIDES SO WISHED. FURTHER, IF
ANY TRANSGRESSION OCCURRED ON ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER,
THERE WOULD BE A POSSIBILITY OF DENOUNCING THE AGREEMENT.
THIS WHOLE MATTER WOULD BE COVERED BY A GENERAL AGREEMENT,
WITH ANNEXES AND A TECHNICAL AGREEMENT FOR EACH US
FACILITY REMAINING IN GREECE. IT WOULD BE A HOMOGENEOUS
AGREEMENT REPLACING THE CURRENT PATCHWORK. CALOGERAS
UNDERTOOK BY APRIL 15 TO GIVE THE US DELEGATION A DRAFT
TEXT OUTLINING WHAT HE HAD JUST PRESENTED. HE RECOGNIZED
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 09 STATE 084720

THAT THIS DRAFT TEXT WOULD NOT BE FINDING ON EITHER SIDE BUT WOULD BE A WORKING PAPER WHICH WOULD BE THE OBJECT OF FURTHER NEGOTIATIONS. STEARNS RESRVED DETAILED COMMENT ON CALOGERAS' PROPOSALS UNTIL THE US SIDE SAW THE DRAFT TEXT.

7. STEARNS STATED THAT THE US VIEW ON THE FORM OF THE AGREEMENT WAS OPEN-MINDED, BUT THAT IT SHOULD BE FORNE IN MIND THAT A NEW UMBRELLA AGREEMENT RAISED THE QUESTION OF RATIFICATION BY BOTH US AND GREEK LEGISLATURES. HE RECOGNIZED THAT THE MOST USEFUL OBJECTIVE OF THE CURRENT NEGOTIATIONS WAS TO COME OUT WITH SOMETHING SIMPLER THAN THE PRESENT PATCHWORK OF AGREEMENTS. HE NOTED THAT THE CURRENT AGREEMENTS HAD BEEN USEFUL TO BOTH GREECE AND THE US FOR MANY YEARS, AND THAT HE WOULD RESERVE HIS POSITION ON THE ULTIMATE FORM OF ANY AGREEMENT. HE DID AGREE, HOWEVR, THAT UPDATING AND SYNTHESIZING WAS THE OBJECTIVE OF THE US SIDE AS WELL AND IT WAS IN THAT SPIRIT THAT THE US WOULD EXAMINE THE GREEK TEXT. HE FELT THAT THE GREEK DOCUMENT OUTLINED BY CALOGERAS WOULD CERTAINLY BE VOLUMINOUS AND THAT IT MIGHT BE PREFERABLE IN THE CURRENT DISCUSSIONS TO EXAMINE THE PRACTICALITY OF RETAINING THE FORM OF WHATEVER AGREEMENTS ALREADY EXISTED, MODIFYING THEM AND BASING DECISIONS NOT ON AN ENTIRELY NEW DOCUMENT BUT ON REVISION OF THOSE IN BEING. ALSO, SINCE SUCH AGREE- MENTS WOULD BE BASED ON THE ULTIMATE DESTINATION OF THE TALKS, THE US RESERVED ITS RIGHT AND INTENTION TO MODIFY THESE AGREEMENTS BASED ON THE CONCLUSIONS THAT CAME OUT OF THESE TALKS. THE FORM OF THE AGREEMENTS WOULD DEPEND ON THE COMPONENT CONCLUSIONS THAT WERE REACHED. CALOGERAS AGREED THAT HIS PRESENTATION WAS ONLY AN IDEA OPEN TO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ARRANGEMENTS WERE THEN MADE FOR THE THIRD MEETING OF THE SUBGROUP ON SOFA ISSUD ON MONDAY, APRIL 14. CALOGERAS STATED THAT HE WOULD ATTEMPT TO PRESENT A DRAFT AGTEEMENT TO THE US SIDE BEFORE THE NEXT PLENARY SCHEDULED FOR APRIL 15 OR AT THAT MEETING. KUBISCH UNQUOTE KISSINGER

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NEGOTIATIONS, MILITARY BASE AGREEMENTS, STATUS OF FORCES AGREEMENTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 14 APR 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE084720
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: PM/ISO:GTCHURCHILL:ML
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750130-0815
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750452/aaaabwee.tel
Line Count: 427
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: 75 STATE 075036, 75 ATHENS 2694
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01 MAY 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 MAY 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <23 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: GREEK BASE NEGOTIATIONS: SECOND PLENARY MEETING OF SECOND ROUND
TAGS: MARR, GR, US
To: COM
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006